Using Incident Reviews to Identify and Track Workplace Injuries, Exposures, and Hazards at a Large Construction Site

Steven Hecker¹, Jacqueline Villnave¹, Bill Hardwick¹, Tony Barsotti².

1. University of Oregon, Labor Education and Research Center. 2. Hoffman Construction, Portland, OR.

Introduction

A process was developed as part of an initiative to achieve zero accidents on a large construction site.

One outcome of this process is a surveillance system for injuries and hazards.

Why bother?

- Research by the Construction Industry Institute has identified Incident Investigation as an effective technique to improve safety.
- UK proposal to require investigation of all work-related accidents, ill-health, or near hits.
- Leading vs trailing indicators of safety performance.
- Owner commitment and expectations.

Typical Incident Investigation

 Fault finding – often blaming the victim without looking deeper

Focus on single root cause, with little attention on contributing factors

Commonly due to 'employee behavior'

Incident Review

- Finding facts, not faults
- Contributing factors as important as root cause
- 'Action Required' list generated to address hazards and related issues
- Results widely communicated

Implementation

- Participation required in contract specifications
- Written procedure Best Known Method
- Review is conducted next day, ideally
- Facilitator trained to lead the process

Facilitator

- Key to a successful review
- Sets the tone of fact-finding, neutrality
- Explains process to attendees
- Records information on board in front of group
- Asks objective questions, listens, sorts fact from speculation

Facilitator

- Encourages balanced participation
- Elicits root cause and contributing factors
- Monitors progress and completion of 'Action Required' assignments

Outcomes: Injury Surveillance

- Documentation of injuries and near misses with potential for injury
- Focus on wide range of contributing factors
- Data can be used to identify patterns

Outcomes: Hazard Surveillance

- Documentation of hazards identified by root cause and contributing factors
- Patterns identified by data
- Systematic elimination of hazards
- Hazard elimination can occur 'upstream' in design and planning

Other Outcomes

- Relationship building
- Improved communication and trust
- Accountability for improvements
- Less fear of reporting

Example Incidents

- Neck injury from bumping overhead structure
- Cut hand with utility knife
- Unknown liquid spilled on arm
- Particle in eye
- Material fell off forklift as it was being unloaded

Example Contributing Factors

- Awkward work position
- Equipment blocking area
- Tool not designed for task
- Schedule pressure

Example 'Actions Required'

- Replace screwdrivers with small prying bars
- Palletize all loads prior to lifting
- Update procedure for line freezing
- Purchase and use the right gloves for the task

Patterns Identified

- Injuries due to bumping into overhead pipes
- Cuts from utility knives
- Falls through openings in floors
- Exposures to unknown liquids

Changes Made

- New building designed with basement rather than crawl space to allow more head room
- Utility knives and screwdrivers replaced with appropriate tools for task
- Changes in design of spill dams
- Better fitting gloves no longer 'one size fits all'

Applicability to other settings

- Easily transferable to other industries
- Can be part of contract
- Appropriate for multi-employer worksites and transient workforce
- Requires support of management

Challenges

- Gaining trust of the process
- Getting past the perception that the review is a poor use of time
- Effective facilitation
- Management support prevention can be difficult to sell
- Assuring dissemination to all involved parties

Benefits

- Patterns of injuries and hazards more easily detected
- Shift in culture focus on issue, not individual
- Reduction in hazards
- Near-hits serve as leading indicators and a better way to prevent injuries